

KNE470 Environmental Engineering		Assessment Rubric for Ethics Assignment		Student:	
Criteria	HD	DN	CR	PP	NN
Question 1 – List and describe ethical issues	You identified 5 or more ethical issues presented in the video. You provided a reference to the numbered Tenet in the EA code and a brief explanation as to why each was an issue.	You identified 4 ethical issues presented in the video. You provided a reference to the numbered Tenet in the EA code and a brief explanation as to why each was an issue.	You identified 3 ethical issues presented in the video. You provided a reference to the numbered Tenet in the EA code and a brief explanation as to why some were issues	You identified 2 ethical issues presented in the video. You provided a reference to the numbered Tenet in the EA code.	You misinterpreted the question and/or wrote too generally about the topic.
Question 2 – Professor Maslin’s advice	You presented a succinct summary of Professor Maslin’s advice together with critical assessments of each point and possible alternatives with reference to the EA Code of Ethics.	You presented a summary of Professor Maslin’s advice together with assessments of some points giving references to the EA Code of Ethics.	You presented a summary of Professor Maslin’s advice together with assessments of some points.	You recorded some of Professor Maslin’s advice together with a brief assessment of its value	You presented an answer that was loosely related to the topic.
Question 3 – What should David have done?	You presented a brief and accurate summary of what David did, identifying decision points and alternatives with each referenced to the EA code of Ethics. You clearly stated what, in your opinion, was David’s best course of action given the circumstances.	You presented a summary of what David did, giving alternatives with each referenced to the EA code of Ethics. You stated what, in your opinion, was David’s best course of action.	You stated what, in your opinion, was David’s best course of action and referenced your recommendations to the EA code of ethics.	You stated what, in your opinion, was David’s best course of action.	You partially structured your content. You made mistakes in grammar, punctuation and/or spelling.
Question 4 – What steps could Z-corp take to improve company culture	You gave a clear assessment of the Z-corp company culture with an accurate and concise summary of the priorities and constraints facing Z-corp . You presented realistic recommendations aimed at improving the company culture.	You gave an assessment of the Z-corp company culture including the priorities and constraints facing Z-corp . You presented recommendations aimed at improving the company culture.	You gave an assessment of the Z-corp company culture. You presented recommendations aimed at improving the company culture.	Your structured your content with some logic. You presented your ideas with some clarity and fluency. You made a few errors in your grammar, punctuation, spelling and/or referencing.	You partially structured your content. You made mistakes in grammar, punctuation, and/or spelling.

Question 5 - What tenets of the code of Ethics did David fail to comply with	You identified 4 or more tenets of the code that David failed to comply with. You referred to the relevant scene in the video and provided a reference to the numbered Tenet in the EA code together with a brief explanation as to why each was an issue.	You identified 3 tenets of the code that David failed to comply with. You provided a reference to the numbered Tenet in the EA code and brief explanation as to why each was an issue.	You identified 3 tenets of the code that David failed to comply with. You provided a reference to the numbered Tenet in the EA code.	You quoted several Tenets of the EA code of Ethics that David failed to comply with.	You misinterpreted the question and/or wrote too generally about the topic.
Question 6 - What do you think will happen at the meeting with the Lawyer>	You summarised the likely positions of each participant with critical assessments in each case. You made reference to relevant actions of each party and related this to a probable outcome.	You gave a plausible summary of the meeting's events with reference to David's actions and Z-corp's priorities. You suggested an outcome and gave reasons.	You presented a summary of the likely points to be raised by each party. You proposed an outcome with reasons.	You presented a brief scenario and proposed an outcome with reasons.	You gave a one sentence opinion with no justification.